UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/512,064	10/21/2004	Jin Kinoshita	1003510-000163 8575	
	7590 05/10/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			PENG, KUO LIANG	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	/
_	

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/512,064	KINOSHITA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kuo-Liang Peng	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Extenditor - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2/21/	07 Amendment.				
· —		action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 10-15 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany		Examiner.			
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• • •			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
-	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	7 77				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	r r			

Application/Control Number: 10/512,064 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The Applicants' amendment filed February 21, 2007 is acknowledged.

 Claims 1-9 are deleted. Claims 10-14 are amended. Claim 15 is added. Now,

 Claims 10-15 are pending.
- 2. Claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 112 in the previous Office Action (Paper No. 081906) is/are removed.
- 3. In view of Applicants' amendment/declaration, claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 102 in paragraph 6 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 081906) is/are removed.

JP981 (JP 2000-143981) discloses an adhesive resin comprising a polyimide obtained by reacting a polysiloxane diamine represented by formula (3) and a polyphenylene oxide diamine of formula (2)' where n can be 3 and the amino groups are on the meta positions with a tetracarboxylic dianhydrides; an epoxy resin and a curing agent. ([0008]-[0013], [0023]-[0026] and [0041]-[0044]) The resin can be used in the field of electronics. ([0048]-[0049]) However, as Applicants point out that JP981 does not teach or fairly suggest the use of

polyphenylene oxide diamine having **five** phenylene groups, and **all** of the ether linkages between the phenylene groups are on **meta** positions. Especially, there is **no motivation** to particularly choose a polyphenylene oxide diamine having **all** of the ether linkages on **meta** positions. Furthermore, Applicants show that the Tgs of the polyimides derived from polyphenylene oxide diamines having the ether linkages in different positions are not the same. See polyimides [P1]-[P3] in Fig. 3 shown in the declaration.

4. In view of Applicants' amendment/declaration, claim rejection(s) under 35 USC 103 in paragraphs 8 and 10 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 081906) is/are removed.

JP656 (JP 08-127656) discloses an adhesive resin comprising a polyimide obtained by reacting a polyphenylene oxide diamine represented by formula (1) and a polysiloxane diamine represented by formula (2) with a tetracarboxylic dianhydrides. ([0004]-[0008]) The resin can be used in the field of electronics. ([0002]) However, JP656 does not teach or fairly suggest the use of polyphenylene oxide diamine having **five** phenylene groups, and **all** of the ether linkages between the phenylene groups are on **meta** positions. Especially, there is **no motivation** to particularly choose a polyphenylene oxide diamine having **all** of the ether linkages

Art Unit: 1712

on meta positions. Furthermore, Applicants show that the Tgs of the polyimides derived from polyphenylene oxide diamines having the ether linkages in different positions are not the same. See polyimides [P1]-[P3] in Fig. 3 shown in the declaration.

5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this action can be found in prior Office Action(s).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP213 (JP 08-134213).

JP213 discloses an adhesive resin comprising a polyimide obtained by reacting a polyphenylene oxide diamine represented by formula (1) and a polysiloxane diamine represented by formula (2) with a tetracarboxylic dianhydrides. ([0005]-[0016]) The resin can be used in the field of electronics. ([0002]) JP213 is silent on the use of a polyphenylene oxide diamine set forth in the instant claims. However, JP213's polyphenylene oxide diamine is a homolog of that of Applicants'. A *prima facie* case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarities and similar utilities.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,064

Art Unit: 1712

"An obviousness rejection based on similarity in chemical structure and function entails the motivation of one skilled in the art to make a claimed compound, in the expectation that compounds similar in structure will have similar properties." *In re Payne*, 606 F.2d 303, 313, 203 USPQ 245, 254 (CCPA 1979). See *In re Papesch*, 315 F.2d 381, 137 USPQ 43 (CCPA 1963) See MPEP 2144.09.

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 8, 3rd paragraph), Examiner disagrees. Regarding the number of ring structures, as mentioned above, a *prima* facie case of obviousness may be made when chemical compounds have very close structural similarities and similar utilities. Regarding the meta-position defined by formula (4), JP213 does disclose 3,3'-bis(3-aminophenoxy)diphenylether where all the ether linkages are on meta positions. ([0009]) Applicants' declaration appears not to provide sufficient evidence to overcome the *prima facie* case of obviousness.

7. Claims 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP656 as applied to Claims 12-15 above, and further in view of Yoshida (US 5 773 509).

The difference between JP656 and the present invention is the requirement of a thermosetting resin of epoxy resin.

Application/Control Number: 10/512,064

Art Unit: 1712

Yoshida teaches the use of an epoxy resin and a curing agent in a heat resistant resin composition comprising a polyimide. The motivation of using the epoxy resin and the curing agent is to afford a film adhesive which has excellent heat resistance and which can adhere at a low temperature in a short time. (col. 2, line 40 to col. 4, line 29) In light of the benefit mentioned, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate Yoshida's epoxy resin and curing agent into JP656's composition. Especially, Yoshida is in the same field as that of JP656's endeavor.

Page 6

For Applicants' argument (Remarks, page 9, last paragraph to page 10, 1st paragraph), Applicants are reminded that one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck* & Co., Inc., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/512,064

Art Unit: 1712

Page 7

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kuo-Liang Peng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski, can be reached on (571) 272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained

Art Unit: 1712

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

klp May 8, 2007

> Kuo-Liang Peng Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712